Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,323	STAPPER ET AL.	
Examiner-induced interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Yevgeny Valenrod	1621
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Bef</u>	ore First Action
(1) <u>Yevgeny Valenrod</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Barbara E. Kurys</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 11, 13, 15			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Interviview was conducted to gain authorization for examiner's amendment			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ition. The eparate re	examiner will provide a writted examiner will provide a writted example of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
V2-W			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant//	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)